

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/833,390	04/11/2001	C. Richard Triola	CRT044UT	3769
7.	590 09/09/2003			
EUGENE H VALET			EXAMINER	
314 10TH AVE EDMONDS, W			BACKER,	FIRMIN
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3621	<u>-</u>
			DATE MAIL ED: 00/00/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Sin)	
V	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/833,390	TRIOLA, C. RICHAI	TRIOLA, C. RICHARD	
, idvisory rieden	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Firmin Backer	3621		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 12 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this (1) a timely filed amendme	application. A proper reply nt which places the applica	to a tion in	
PERIOD FOR I	REPLY [check either a) or	b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mai				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of thi no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expir ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W 706.07(f).	re later than SIX MONTHS from t 'AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH	he mailing date of the final rejection. HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the periodic fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Cottimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 timely filed.	d of extension and the correspon of the shortened statutory period Office later than three months afte	ding amount of the fee. The apprefor reply originally set in the final	opriate extension Office action; or	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C				
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:			
(a) they raise new issues that would require furt	ther consideration and/or s	earch (see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal t	by materially reducing or sin	nplifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without cancer	eling a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claims	S	
NOTE:				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	· /			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	lld be allowable if submitted	d in a separate, timely filed a	amendment	
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: §		en considered but does NOT	Γ place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SC	DLELY to issues which were	enewly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims	• • •	•	nd an	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:			
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected:				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐	disapproved by the Examir	ner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	nent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s).	_	
10. Other:	(
		JAMES P. TRANIMELL		
		SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAM TECHNOLOGY CENTER 36	NINER \	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argument is not persuasive and the claims have not been placed in condition for allowance. Furthermore. The proposed amendmens are based on limitations that have already been rejected.